(3)

(1) Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITON NO. 4164 2004,

PETITIONERS:-

1) MITHILA PRASAD
S/O- SHRI RAM DAS
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS
AISSTANT SUB INSPECTOR
POLICE COLONY, MANSI A/4
DANTEWADA
DISTT- DANTEWADA (C.G.)

P.R. No. A/15/6/04
Presented by Shri Vivek Rathore
dated 26/10/04

2) VEER BHADUR
S/O- GANPAT SINGH
AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS
ASSISTANT SUB INSPECTOR
P.S.- CHINTALNAR
VILLAGE- SUKMA
DISTT- DANTEWADA (C.G.)

3) SHYAM DUTT DWIVEDI
S/O- BIMLA PRASAD DWIVEDI
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS
ASSISTANT SUB INSPECTOR
P.S.- CHINTAGUPHA
DISTT- DANTEWADA (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:- :- 1) UNION OF INDIA
THROUGH- SECRETARY,
PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

2) STATE OF CHHATTISGARH
THROUGH : SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF HOME (POLICE)
RAIPUR(C.G.)

4) STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH
THROUGH: SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT O HOME (POLICE)
BHOPAL (M.P.)

4) INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
BASTAR RANGE,
JAGDALPUR (C.G.)

5) DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
POLICE HEAD QUARTER,
RAIPUR (C.G.)

6) SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
DANTEWADA, DISTT- DANTEWADA
(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

1) PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER

(33)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 4164/04 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	15-12-2004 Shri Y.C. Sharma, counsel for the petitioners. Shri Rajnish Singh Baghel, Addl. Standing Counsel for the Union of India/respondent no.1 on advance copy. Shri Sudhir Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State. Application for urgent hearing is allowed. Heard. Counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter relates to allocation of petitioners. He further submits that in similar matter i.e. W.P.No.578/2004 (Balendra Shekhar Mishra and others –vs- Union of India and others) orders have been passed by this Court on 17.12.2003. He also produced a copy of the order dated 18.6.2004 passed in W.P. 1560/2004 (Jitendra Singh Tomar and others –vs- Union of India and others). Learned counsel prays that similar order may be passed in this case also. Learned counsel for the respondents is not in a position to dispute the statement made and states that similar orders may be passed. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, material on record and considering the statement made by counsel for the petitioners at the Bar and in view of the judgment/orders cited above and further the legal position, the action of the State Authorities in not relieving the petitioners cannot be sustained and the petitioners are entitled for relieving. The respondents are directed to relieve the petitioners within 15 days from the date of production of a copy of this order. Accordingly, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P.4531/2004 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	
Pathak		

PRI—ES/350—12/2000—50,000